

COALGEBRA-GALOIS EXTENSIONS FROM THE EXTENSION THEORY POINT OF VIEW

TOMASZ BRZEZIŃSKI

ABSTRACT. Coalgebra-Galois extensions generalise Hopf-Galois extensions, which can be viewed as non-commutative torsors. In this paper it is analysed when a coalgebra-Galois extension is a separable, split, or strongly separable extension.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a coalgebra C , an algebra A and a right coaction $\rho^A : A \rightarrow A \otimes C$ one can define a fixed point subalgebra B of A as consisting of all those elements of A over which the coaction is left-linear. In this way one obtains an extension $B \hookrightarrow A$, which is called a *coalgebra-Galois extension* if a certain canonical left A -module, right C -comodule map is bijective [4] [3]. The aim of this article is to analyse such coalgebra-Galois extensions from the extension theory point of view. In particular we study the problem when such extensions are separable, split or strongly separable extensions. This problem is put in a broader context of *entwining structures* and *entwined modules* introduced in [4] [2], as a generalisation of a Doi-Hopf datum and Doi-Koppinen modules [10] [15], respectively. We make use of the notion of a separability of a functor introduced in [17], and, as a byproduct, we generalise some of the results of [5] obtained recently for Doi-Koppinen modules.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we recall definitions and give examples of entwining structures and entwined modules. In Section 3 we analyse when certain functors between categories of entwined modules induced by morphisms of entwining structures are separable. In Section 4 we apply the results of Section 3 to prove that a sufficient and necessary condition for a coalgebra-Galois extension to be separable is the separability of a certain induction functor. This, in turn, is equivalent to the existence of a normalised integral in the canonical entwining structure. In Section 5 we analyse when a coalgebra-Galois extension is a split extension. This turns out to be related to the separability of the forgetful functor from the category of entwined modules to the category of right modules - another special case of the main theorem in Section 3. Finally, in Section 6 we study the problem when a coalgebra-Galois extension is a strongly separable extension in the sense of [14].

We work over a commutative ring k with identity 1. We assume that all the algebras are over k , associative and unital, and the coalgebras are over k , coassociative and counital. Unadorned tensor product is over k . For any k -modules V, W the symbol $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ denotes the k -module of k -linear maps $V \rightarrow W$ and the identity map $V \rightarrow V$ is denoted by V . The twist map between k -modules V, W is denoted

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30, 17B37.

Lloyd's of London Tercentenary Fellow.

On leave from: Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Łódź, Pomorska 149/153, 90-236, Łódź, Poland.

by $\text{twist} : V \otimes W \rightarrow W \otimes V$, $v \otimes w \mapsto w \otimes v$. We also implicitly identify V with $V \otimes k$ and $k \otimes V$ via the canonical isomorphisms.

For a k -algebra A we use μ_A to denote the product as a map and 1_A to denote the identity both as an element of A and as a map $k \rightarrow A$, $\alpha \mapsto \alpha 1_A$. \mathbf{M}_A (resp. ${}_A\mathbf{M}$) denotes the category of right (resp. left) A -modules. The morphisms in this category are denoted by $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ (resp. ${}_A\text{Hom}(M, N)$). For any $M \in \mathbf{M}_A$ (resp. $M \in {}_A\mathbf{M}$), the symbol ρ_M (resp. ${}_M\rho$) denotes the action as a map (on elements the action is denoted by a dot). We often write M_A (resp. ${}_AM$) to indicate in which context the A -module M appears. For any $M \in \mathbf{M}^A$, $N \in {}^A\mathbf{M}$ we will write $\text{eq}_{MAN} : M \otimes A \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N$ for the action equalising map defining tensor product $M \otimes_A N$, i.e., $\text{eq}_{MAN} = \rho_M \otimes N - M \otimes {}_N\rho$, $M \otimes_A N = \text{coker}(\text{eq}_{MAN})$.

For a k -coalgebra C we use Δ_C to denote the coproduct and ϵ_C to denote the counit. Notation for comodules is similar to that for modules but with subscripts replaced by superscripts, i.e. \mathbf{M}^C is the category of right C -comodules, ρ^M is a right coaction etc. We use the Sweedler notation for coproducts and coactions, i.e. $\Delta_C(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$, $\rho^M(m) = m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$ (summation understood). For any $V \in \mathbf{M}^C$, $W \in {}^C\mathbf{M}$, $V \square_C W$ denotes the cotensor product, which is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V \square_C W \longrightarrow V \otimes W \xrightarrow{\text{eq}^{V^C W}} V \otimes C \otimes W,$$

where $\text{eq}^{V^C W}$ is the coaction equalising map, i.e., $\text{eq}^{V^C W} = \rho^V \otimes W - V \otimes {}^W\rho$.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON ENTWINING STRUCTURES AND COALGEBRA-GALOIS EXTENSIONS

Definition 2.1. An *entwining structure* (over k) is a triple $(A, C)_\psi$ consisting of a k -algebra A , a k -coalgebra C and a k -linear map $\psi : C \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes C$ satisfying

$$\psi \circ (C \otimes \mu_A) = (\mu_A \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes \psi) \circ (\psi \otimes A), \quad \psi \circ (C \otimes 1_A) = 1_A \otimes C, \quad (1)$$

$$(A \otimes \Delta_C) \circ \psi = (\psi \otimes C) \circ (C \otimes \psi) \circ (\Delta_C \otimes A), \quad (A \otimes \epsilon_C) \circ \psi = \epsilon_C \otimes A. \quad (2)$$

A morphism of entwining structures is a pair $(f, g) : (A, C)_\psi \rightarrow (\tilde{A}, \tilde{C})_{\tilde{\psi}}$, where $f : A \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ is an algebra map, $g : C \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ is a coalgebra map, and $(f \otimes g) \circ \psi = \tilde{\psi} \circ (g \otimes f)$.

The category of entwining structures is a tensor category with tensor product $(A, C)_\psi \otimes (\tilde{A}, \tilde{C})_{\tilde{\psi}} = (A \otimes \tilde{A}, C \otimes \tilde{C})_{(\psi \otimes \tilde{\psi}) \circ (C \otimes \text{twist} \otimes \tilde{A})}$, and unit object $(k, k)_{\text{twist}}$.

For $(A, C)_\psi$ we use the notation $\psi(c \otimes a) = a_\alpha \otimes c^\alpha$ (summation over a Greek index understood), for all $a \in A$, $c \in C$. The notion of an entwining structure was introduced in [4, Definition 2.1]. It is self-dual in the sense that conditions in Definition 2.1 are invariant under the operation consisting of interchanging of A with C , μ_A with Δ_C , and 1_A with ϵ_C , and reversing the order of maps. Below are two classes of examples of entwining structures coming from Galois-extensions.

Example 2.2 ([3]). Let C be a coalgebra, A an algebra and a right C -comodule. Let $B := \{b \in A \mid \rho^A(ba) = b\rho^A(a)\}$ and assume that the canonical left A -module, right C -comodule map $\text{can} : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A \otimes C$, $a \otimes a' \mapsto a\rho^A(a')$, is bijective. Let $\psi : C \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes C$ be a k -linear map given by $\psi(c \otimes a) = \text{can}(\text{can}^{-1}(1_A \otimes c)a)$.

Then $(A, C)_\psi$ is an entwining structure. The extension $B \hookrightarrow A$ is called a *coalgebra-Galois extension* (or a *C-Galois extension*) and is denoted by $A(B)^C$. $(A, C)_\psi$ is the *canonical entwining structure* associated to $A(B)^C$. A coalgebra-Galois extension $A(B)^C$ is said to be *copointed* if there exists a group-like $e \in C$ such that $\rho^A(1_A) = 1_A \otimes e$.

Dually we have

Example 2.3 ([3]). Let A be an algebra, C a coalgebra and a right A -module. Let $B := C/I$, where I is a coideal in C ,

$$I := \text{span}\{(c \cdot a)_{(1)}\xi((c \cdot a)_{(2)}) - c_{(1)}\xi(c_{(2)} \cdot a) \mid a \in A, c \in C, \xi \in C^*\},$$

and assume that the canonical left C -comodule, right A -module map $\text{cocan} : C \otimes A \rightarrow C \square_B C$, $c \otimes a \mapsto c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \cdot a$, is bijective. Let $\psi : C \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes C$ be a k -linear map given by $\psi = (\epsilon_C \otimes A \otimes C) \circ (\text{cocan}^{-1} \otimes C) \circ (C \otimes \Delta_C) \circ \text{cocan}$. Then $(A, C)_\psi$ is an entwining structure. The coextension $C \twoheadrightarrow B$ is called an *algebra-Galois coextension* (or an *A-Galois coextension*) and is denoted by $C(B)_A$. $(A, C)_\psi$ is the *canonical entwining structure* associated to $C(B)_A$. An algebra-Galois coextension $C(B)_A$ is said to be *pointed* if there exists an algebra map $\kappa : A \rightarrow k$ such that $\epsilon_C \circ \rho_C = \epsilon_C \otimes \kappa$.

Associated to an entwining structure is the category of entwined modules.

Definition 2.4. Let $(A, C)_\psi$ be an entwining structure. An (entwined) $(A, C)_\psi$ -module is a right A -module, right C -comodule M such that

$$\rho^M \circ \rho_M = (\rho_M \otimes C) \circ (M \otimes \psi) \circ (\rho^M \otimes A),$$

(explicitly: $\rho^M(m \cdot a) = m_{(0)} \cdot a_\alpha \otimes m_{(1)}^\alpha, \forall a \in A, m \in M$). A morphism of $(A, C)_\psi$ -modules is a right A -module map which is also a right C -comodule map. The category of $(A, C)_\psi$ -modules is denoted by $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$.

The category $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ was introduced and studied in [2]. An example of such modules are Doi-Koppinen modules introduced in [10], [15]. In this paper we will be concerned with two covariant functors between categories of entwined modules, which are special cases of the construction in [2, Section 3]¹ (see also [7] for the Doi-Koppinen case). These functors are induced by certain morphisms of entwining structures.

Definition 2.5. Let $(f, g) : (A, C)_\psi \rightarrow (\tilde{A}, \tilde{C})_{\tilde{\psi}}$ be a morphism of entwining structures. View C as a left \tilde{C} -comodule via ${}^C\rho = (g \otimes C) \circ \Delta_C$ and $C \otimes \tilde{A}$ as a right \tilde{C} -comodule via $\rho^{C \otimes \tilde{A}} = (C \otimes \tilde{\psi}) \circ (C \otimes g \otimes \tilde{A}) \circ (\Delta_C \otimes \tilde{A})$. Then (f, g) is said to be an *admissible morphism* iff:

- (i) for all $\tilde{M} \in \mathbf{M}_{\tilde{A}}^{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{\psi})$, $\tilde{M} \square_{\tilde{C}}(C \otimes C) = (\tilde{M} \square_{\tilde{C}} C) \otimes C$,
- (ii) for all $M \in \mathbf{M}_A$, $(M \otimes (C \otimes \tilde{A})) \square_{\tilde{C}} C = M \otimes ((C \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C)$.

For example, if C, \tilde{C} are k -flat then (f, g) is an admissible morphism provided that \tilde{C} is coflat. On the other hand if k is a regular ring or a field every morphism is admissible. Also, it can be easily checked that the following morphisms $(A, \epsilon_C) : (A, C)_\psi \rightarrow (A, k)_{\text{twist}}$ and $(1_A, C) : (k, C)_{\text{twist}} \rightarrow (A, C)_\psi$ are admissible.

¹Although the paper [2] is restricted to k being a field, all the results of [2] quoted in the present paper can easily be seen to hold for a general k .

Example 2.6. Let $(f, g) : (A, C)_\psi \rightarrow (\tilde{A}, \tilde{C})_{\tilde{\psi}}$ be an admissible morphism of entwining structures. View \tilde{A} as a right A -module via $\rho_{\tilde{A}} = \mu_{\tilde{A}} \circ (\tilde{A} \otimes f)$, and C as a right \tilde{C} -comodule via $\rho^C = (C \otimes g) \circ \Delta_C$. Then:

(1) For any $\tilde{M} \in \mathbf{M}_{\tilde{A}}^{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{\psi})$, $\tilde{M} \square_{\tilde{C}} C$ is an $(A, C)_\psi$ -module with structure maps $\rho^{\tilde{M} \square_{\tilde{C}} C} = \tilde{M} \otimes \Delta_C$ and

$$\rho_{\tilde{M} \square_{\tilde{C}} C} : \tilde{M} \square_{\tilde{C}} C \otimes A \rightarrow \tilde{M} \square_{\tilde{C}} C, \quad \sum_i \tilde{m}_i \otimes c_i \otimes a = \sum_i \tilde{m}_i f(a_\alpha) \otimes c_i^\alpha.$$

(2) For any $M \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$, $M \otimes_A \tilde{A}$ is an $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{C})_{\tilde{\psi}}$ -module with structure maps $\rho_{M \otimes_A \tilde{A}} = M \otimes_A \mu_{\tilde{A}}$ and

$$\rho^{M \otimes_A \tilde{A}} : M \otimes_A \tilde{A} \rightarrow M \otimes_A \tilde{A} \otimes \tilde{C}, \quad m \otimes \tilde{a} \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes \tilde{a}_\alpha \otimes g(m_{(1)})^\alpha.$$

(3) The covariant functor $-\square_{\tilde{C}} C : \mathbf{M}_{\tilde{A}}^{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{\psi}) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ is the right adjoint of $-\otimes_A \tilde{A} : \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\tilde{A}}^{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{\psi})$. The adjunctions are:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall M \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi), \quad \Phi_M : M &\rightarrow (M \otimes_A \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C, \quad m \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes 1_{\tilde{A}} \otimes m_{(1)}, \\ \forall \tilde{M} \in \mathbf{M}_{\tilde{A}}^{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{\psi}), \quad \Psi_{\tilde{M}} : (\tilde{M} \square_{\tilde{C}} C) \otimes_A \tilde{A} &\rightarrow \tilde{M}, \quad \sum_i \tilde{m}_i \otimes c_i \otimes \tilde{a} \mapsto \sum_i \tilde{m}_i \cdot \tilde{a} \epsilon_C(c_i). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Example 2.6 to morphisms $(A, \epsilon_C) : (A, C)_\psi \rightarrow (A, k)_{\text{twist}}$ and $(1_A, C) : (k, C)_{\text{twist}} \rightarrow (A, C)_\psi$ one obtains

Example 2.7. Let $(A, C)_\psi$ be an entwining structure. Then

(1) If M is a right A -module then $M \otimes C$ is an $(A, C)_\psi$ -module with the coaction $M \otimes \Delta_C$ and the action $(m \otimes c) \cdot a = m \cdot \psi(c \otimes a)$, for all $a \in A, c \in C$ and $m \in M$. In particular $A \otimes C \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$. The operation $M \mapsto M \otimes C$ defines a covariant functor $-\otimes C : \mathbf{M}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ which is the right adjoint of the forgetful functor $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$.

(2) If V is a right C -comodule then $V \otimes A \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ with the action $V \otimes \mu_A$ and the coaction $v \otimes a \mapsto v_{(0)} \otimes \psi(v_{(1)} \otimes a)$ for any $a \in A$ and $v \in V$. In particular $C \otimes A \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$. The operation $V \mapsto V \otimes A$ defines a covariant functor $-\otimes C : \mathbf{M}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$, which is the left adjoint of the forgetful functor $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^C$.

Another class of examples of entwined modules comes from (co)algebra-Galois (co)extensions [3]

Example 2.8.

(1) Let $(A, C)_\psi$ be the canonical entwining structure associated to a coalgebra-Galois extension $A(B)^C$. Then A is an $(A, C)_\psi$ -module via ρ^A and μ_A .

(2) Let $(A, C)_\psi$ be the canonical entwining structure associated to an algebra-Galois coextension $C(B)_A$. Then C is an $(A, C)_\psi$ -module via ρ_C and Δ_C .

3. SEPARABLE FUNCTORS OF ENTWINED MODULES

In this section we analyse when functors described in Example 2.6 are separable. Recall from [17] that a covariant functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is *separable* if the natural transformation $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, -) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(-), F(-))$ splits. In this paper we are dealing with the pairs of adjoint functors, so that the following characterisation of separable functors, obtained in [20] [8], is of great importance

Theorem 3.1. Let $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the right adjoint of $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ with adjunctions $\Phi : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow GF$ and $\Psi : FG \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{D}}$. Then

(1) F is separable if and only if Φ splits, i.e., for all objects $C \in \mathcal{C}$ there exists a morphism $\nu_C \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(GF(C), C)$ such that $\nu_C \circ \Phi_C = C$ and for all $f \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \tilde{C})$, $\nu_{\tilde{C}} \circ GF(f) = f \circ \nu_C$.

(2) G is separable if and only if Ψ cosplits, i.e., for all objects $D \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists a morphism $\nu_D \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(D, FG(D))$ such that $\Psi_D \circ \nu_D = D$ and for all $f \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(D, \tilde{D})$, $\nu_{\tilde{D}} \circ f = FG(f) \circ \nu_D$.

Definition 3.2. An admissible morphism $(f, g) : (A, C)_{\psi} \rightarrow (\tilde{A}, \tilde{C})_{\tilde{\psi}}$ of entwining structures is said to be:

(1) *integrable* if there exists $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_A((C \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C, A)$ such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (C \otimes A \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C & \xrightarrow{\psi \otimes \tilde{A} \otimes C} & A \otimes (C \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C & \xrightarrow{A \otimes \lambda} & A \otimes A \\ \downarrow C \otimes f \otimes \tilde{A} \otimes C & & & & \downarrow \mu_A \\ (C \otimes \tilde{A} \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C & \xrightarrow{C \otimes \mu_{\tilde{A}} \otimes C} & (C \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & A, \end{array} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (C \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C & \xrightarrow{C \otimes \tilde{A} \otimes \Delta_C} & (C \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\lambda \otimes C} & A \otimes C \\ \downarrow \Delta_C \otimes \tilde{A} \otimes C & & & & \parallel \\ C \otimes (C \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C & \xrightarrow{C \otimes \lambda} & C \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A \otimes C. \end{array} \quad (4)$$

The right A -module structure of $(C \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C$ is as in Example 2.6(1), explicitly $\rho_{(C \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C} : c' \otimes \tilde{a} \otimes c \otimes a \mapsto c' \otimes \tilde{a} f(a_{\alpha}) \otimes c^{\alpha}$.

(2) *totally integrable*, if there exists $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_A((C \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C, A)$ making it an integrable morphism and such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\Delta_C} & C \otimes C \\ \downarrow 1_A \circ \epsilon_C & & \downarrow C \otimes 1_{\tilde{A}} \otimes C \\ A & \xleftarrow{\lambda} & (C \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C \end{array} \quad (5)$$

commutes.

Notice that the condition (3) makes sense because ψ is a morphism in $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$, (f, g) is admissible and $(C \otimes \mu_{\tilde{A}}) \circ (C \otimes f \otimes \tilde{A}) : C \otimes A \otimes \tilde{A} \rightarrow C \otimes \tilde{A}$ is a left \tilde{C} -comodule map, where the k -modules involved are left \tilde{C} -comodules via $(g \otimes C) \circ \Delta_C \otimes A \otimes \tilde{A}$ and $(g \otimes C) \circ \Delta_C \otimes \tilde{A}$, respectively. Similarly, condition (4) makes sense because Δ_C is a left \tilde{C} -comodule map and $\Delta_C \otimes \tilde{A}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{M}_{\tilde{A}}^{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{\psi})$. Dually to Definition 3.2 one considers

Definition 3.3. An admissible morphism $(f, g) : (A, C)_{\psi} \rightarrow (\tilde{A}, \tilde{C})_{\tilde{\psi}}$ of entwining structures is said to be:

(1) *cointegrable* if there exists $\mathfrak{z} \in \text{Hom}^{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{C}, (\tilde{A} \otimes C) \otimes_A \tilde{A})$ such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{z}} & (\tilde{A} \otimes C) \otimes_A \tilde{A} \xrightarrow{(\tilde{A} \otimes \Delta_C) \otimes_A \tilde{A}} (\tilde{A} \otimes C \otimes C) \otimes_A \tilde{A} \\ \downarrow \Delta_{\tilde{C}} & & \downarrow (\tilde{A} \otimes g \otimes C) \otimes_A \tilde{A} \\ \tilde{C} \otimes \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{C} \otimes \mathfrak{z}} & \tilde{C} \otimes (\tilde{A} \otimes C) \otimes_A \tilde{A} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi} \otimes \tilde{C} \otimes \tilde{A}} (\tilde{A} \otimes \tilde{C} \otimes C) \otimes_A \tilde{A} \end{array} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C} \otimes \tilde{A} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} & \tilde{A} \otimes \tilde{C} \xrightarrow{\tilde{A} \otimes \mathfrak{z}} (\tilde{A} \otimes \tilde{A} \otimes C) \otimes_A \tilde{A} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow (\mu_{\tilde{A}} \otimes C) \otimes_A \tilde{A} \\ \tilde{C} \otimes \tilde{A} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{z} \otimes \tilde{A}} & (\tilde{A} \otimes C) \otimes_A \tilde{A} \otimes \tilde{A} \xrightarrow{(\tilde{A} \otimes C)_A \otimes \mu_{\tilde{A}}} (\tilde{A} \otimes C) \otimes_A \tilde{A}. \end{array} \quad (7)$$

The right \tilde{C} -comodule structure of $(\tilde{A} \otimes C) \otimes_A \tilde{A}$ is as in Example 2.6(2), explicitly $\rho^{(\tilde{A} \otimes C) \otimes_A \tilde{A}} : \tilde{a} \otimes c \otimes \tilde{a}' \mapsto \tilde{a} \otimes c_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}'_{\alpha} \otimes g(c_{(2)})^{\alpha}$.

(2) *totally cointegrable*, if there exists $\mathfrak{z} \in \text{Hom}^{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{C}, (\tilde{A} \otimes C) \otimes_A \tilde{A})$ making it a cointegrable morphism and such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{z}} & (\tilde{A} \otimes C) \otimes_A \tilde{A} \\ \downarrow 1_{\tilde{A}} \circ \epsilon_{\tilde{C}} & & \downarrow (\tilde{A} \otimes \epsilon_C) \otimes_A \tilde{A} \\ \tilde{A} & \xleftarrow{\mu_{\tilde{A}A}} & \tilde{A} \otimes_A \tilde{A} \end{array} \quad (8)$$

commutes. Here $\mu_{\tilde{A},A} : \tilde{A} \otimes_A \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ is the natural map induced by $\mu_{\tilde{A}}$.

The right actions of A on the k -modules involved in the above definition are as follows. For any $a \in A$, $\tilde{a}, \tilde{a}' \in \tilde{A}$, $c, c' \in C$, $\tilde{c} \in \tilde{C}$: $(\tilde{a} \otimes c) \cdot a = \tilde{a}f(a_{\alpha}) \otimes c^{\alpha}$, $(\tilde{a} \otimes c \otimes c') \cdot a = \tilde{a}f(a_{\alpha\beta}) \otimes c^{\beta} \otimes c'^{\alpha}$, $(\tilde{a} \otimes \tilde{c} \otimes c) \cdot a = \tilde{a}f(a_{\alpha})_{\beta} \otimes \tilde{c}^{\beta} \otimes c^{\alpha}$, $(\tilde{c} \otimes \tilde{a} \otimes c) \cdot a = \tilde{c} \otimes \tilde{a}f(a_{\alpha}) \otimes c^{\alpha}$, $(\tilde{a} \otimes \tilde{a}' \otimes c) \cdot a = \tilde{a} \otimes \tilde{a}'f(a_{\alpha}) \otimes c^{\alpha}$. Using properties of entwining structures and the fact that (f, g) is a morphism of entwining structures one can easily convince oneself that all the maps featuring in Definition 3.3 are well-defined.

With these definitions at hand we can now state the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(f, g) : (A, C)_{\psi} \rightarrow (\tilde{A}, \tilde{C})_{\tilde{\psi}}$ be an admissible morphism of entwining structures.*

(1) *If for all $M \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$, $(M \otimes_A \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C \subseteq \text{coker}(\text{eq}_{M_A \tilde{A}} \square_{\tilde{C}} C)$, then the functor $- \otimes_A \tilde{A} : \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\tilde{A}}^{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{\psi})$ is separable if and only if (f, g) is totally integrable.*

(2) *If for all $\tilde{M} \in \mathbf{M}_{\tilde{A}}^{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{\psi})$, $\ker(\text{eq}^{\tilde{M} \tilde{C}} \otimes_A \tilde{A}) \subseteq (\tilde{M} \square_{\tilde{C}} C) \otimes_A \tilde{A}$, then the functor $- \square_{\tilde{C}} C : \mathbf{M}_{\tilde{A}}^{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{\psi}) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ is separable if and only if (f, g) is totally cointegrable.*

Proof. (1) Let (f, g) be totally integrable and assume that λ is as in Definition 3.2. For all $M \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ define

$$\tilde{\nu}_M : (M \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C \rightarrow M, \quad \sum_i m_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i \mapsto \sum_i m_{i(0)} \cdot \lambda(m_{i(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i).$$

Notice that the map $\tilde{\nu}_M$ is well-defined since the fact that (f, g) is admissible implies that for any $x \in (M \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C$, one has $(\rho^M \otimes \tilde{A} \otimes C)(x) \in (M \otimes (C \otimes \tilde{A})) \square_{\tilde{C}} C =$

$M \otimes ((C \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C)$. Take any $x = \sum_i m_i \cdot a_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i \in (M \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\nu}_M(x) &= \sum_i (m_i \cdot a_i)_{(0)} \cdot \lambda((m_i \cdot a_i)_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i) \\
&= \sum_i m_{i(0)} \cdot a_{i\alpha} \lambda(m_{i(1)}^\alpha \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i) \quad (M \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)) \\
&= \sum_i m_{i(0)} \cdot \lambda(m_{i(1)} \otimes f(a_i) \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i) \quad (\text{by (3)}) \\
&= \tilde{\nu}_M(\sum_i m_i \otimes f(a_i) \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i).
\end{aligned}$$

The above calculation means that $\text{Im}(\text{eq}_{M_A \tilde{A}} \square_{\tilde{C}} C) \subseteq \ker \tilde{\nu}_M$, and together with the assumption that $-\square_{\tilde{C}} C$ preserves the cokernel of the action equalising map $\text{eq}_{M_A \tilde{A}}$ imply that one can define the map $\nu_M : (M \otimes_A \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C \rightarrow M$ by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(M \otimes_A \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\text{eq}_{M_A \tilde{A}} \square_{\tilde{C}} C) & \longrightarrow & ((M \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C) / \ker \tilde{\nu}_M \longrightarrow M \\
& & & \uparrow & \parallel \\
& & & (M \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}_M} M
\end{array}$$

Slightly abusing the notation we will still write $\nu_M : \sum_i m_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i \mapsto \sum_i m_{i(0)} \cdot \lambda(m_{i(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i)$.

To show that ν_M is a right A -module map, take any $a \in A$ and $x = \sum_i m_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i \in (M \otimes_A \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_M(x \cdot a) &= \nu_M(\sum_i m_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i f(a_\alpha) \otimes c_i^\alpha) \\
&= \sum_i m_{i(0)} \cdot \lambda(m_{i(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i f(a_\alpha) \otimes c_i^\alpha) \\
&= \sum_i m_{i(0)} \cdot \lambda(m_{i(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i) a \quad (f \in \text{Hom}_A((C \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C, A)) \\
&= \nu_M(x) \cdot a.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_M(x_{(0)}) \otimes x_{(1)} &= \sum_i m_{i(0)} \cdot \lambda(m_{i(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_{i(1)}) \otimes c_{i(2)} \\
&= \sum_i m_{i(0)} \cdot \lambda(m_{i(2)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i)_\alpha \otimes m_{i(1)}^\alpha \quad (\text{by (4)}) \\
&= \sum_i \rho^M(m_{i(0)} \cdot \lambda(m_{i(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i)) \quad (M \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)) \\
&= \rho^M \circ \nu_M(x),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves that ν_M is a right C -comodule map. Using (5) one easily finds that the adjunction Φ_M is splitted by ν_M . It remains to be shown that ν_M is natural in

$\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$. Take any $M, N \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ and $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A^C(M, N)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_N\left(\sum_i \phi(m_i) \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i\right) &= \sum_i \phi(m_i)_{(0)} \cdot \lambda(\phi(m_i)_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i) \\ &= \sum_i \phi(m_{i(0)}) \cdot \lambda(m_{i(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i) \\ &= \sum_i \phi(m_{i(0)}) \cdot \lambda(m_{i(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i) \\ &= \phi \circ \nu_M(x), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that ϕ is a right C -comodule and right A -module map to derive the second and the third equalities respectively. This completes the proof that the functor $-\square_{\tilde{C}}C$ is separable.

Conversely, assume that $-\square_{\tilde{C}}C$ is separable and let ν_M be the corresponding splitting of Φ_M . Define

$$\lambda : (C \otimes \tilde{A})\square_{\tilde{C}}C \rightarrow A, \quad \lambda = (A \otimes \epsilon_C) \circ \nu_{A \otimes C}(1_A \otimes (C \otimes \tilde{A})\square_{\tilde{C}}C).$$

Since $\nu_{A \otimes C}$ is a right A -linear map, so is λ . We first show that ν_M can be expressed in terms of λ . For any $M \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ and $m \in M$ consider a morphism $\ell_m : A \otimes C \rightarrow M \otimes C$ in $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ given by $a \otimes c \mapsto m \cdot a \otimes c$. Since the splitting of the adjunction Φ is natural in $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ we have

$$\ell_m \circ \nu_{A \otimes C} = \nu_{M \otimes C} \circ ((\ell_m \otimes_A \tilde{A})\square_{\tilde{C}}C). \quad (9)$$

In particular, choosing $M = A$ one easily finds that (9) implies that $\nu_{A \otimes C}$ is a left A -module map. Now, if $M \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ one can take the morphism $\rho^M \in \text{Hom}_A^C(M, M \otimes C)$, and thus using the naturality of ν , obtain $\rho^M \circ \nu_M = \nu_{M \otimes C} \circ (\rho^M \otimes C)$. In view of (9) this reads for all $\sum_i m_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i \in (M \otimes \tilde{A})\square_{\tilde{C}}C$ projected down to $(M \otimes_A \tilde{A})\square_{\tilde{C}}C$

$$\rho^M \circ \nu_M\left(\sum_i m_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i\right) = \sum_i \ell_{m_{i(0)}} \circ \nu_{A \otimes C}(1_A \otimes m_{i(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i).$$

Applying $M \otimes \epsilon_C$ to this last equality and using assumption that (f, g) is admissible one obtains

$$\nu_M\left(\sum_i m_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i\right) = \sum_i m_{i(0)} \cdot \lambda(m_{i(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c_i).$$

In particular, the choice $M = A \otimes C$ gives for all $a \in A$, $\sum_i c_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c'_i \in (C \otimes \tilde{A})\square_{\tilde{C}}C$

$$\nu_{A \otimes C}\left(\sum_i a \otimes c_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c'_i\right) = a \sum_i \lambda(c_{i(2)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c'_i)_\alpha \otimes c_{i(1)}^\alpha. \quad (10)$$

We are now ready to show that λ satisfies all the conditions of Definition 3.2. Take any $x = \sum_i c_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c'_i \in (C \otimes \tilde{A})\square_{\tilde{C}}C$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \lambda(c_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c'_{i(1)}) \otimes c'_{i(2)} &= \sum_i (A \otimes \epsilon_C) \circ \nu_{A \otimes C}(1_A \otimes c_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c'_{i(1)}) \otimes c'_{i(2)} \\ &= (A \otimes \epsilon_C \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes \Delta_C) \circ \nu_{A \otimes C}(1_A \otimes x) \\ &= \nu_{A \otimes C}(1_A \otimes x) \\ &= \sum_i \lambda(c_{i(1)} \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c'_i)_\alpha \otimes c_{i(2)}^\alpha \quad (\text{by (10)}), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\nu_{A \otimes C}$ is a right C -comodule map to derive the second equality. This proves that λ satisfies (4). Furthermore, for all $\sum_i c_i \otimes a_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c'_i \in (C \otimes A \otimes \tilde{A}) \square_{\tilde{C}} C$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\left(\sum_i c_i \otimes f(a_i) \tilde{a}_i \otimes c'_i\right) &= (A \otimes \epsilon_C) \circ \nu_{A \otimes C} \left(\sum_i 1_A \otimes c_i \otimes f(a_i) \tilde{a}_i \otimes c'_i\right) \\ &= (A \otimes \epsilon_C) \circ \nu_{A \otimes C} \left(\sum_i (1_A \otimes c_i) \cdot a_i \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c'_i\right) \\ &= (A \otimes \epsilon_C) \circ \nu_{A \otimes C} \left(\sum_i a_{i\alpha} \otimes c_i^\alpha \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c'_i\right) \\ &= \sum_i a_{i\alpha} \lambda(c_i^\alpha \otimes \tilde{a}_i \otimes c'_i), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the properties of the domain of $\nu_{A \otimes C}$ and the assumption that $-\square_{\tilde{C}} C$ preserves cokernel of $\text{eq}_{M_{A\tilde{A}}}$ to derive the second equality. This proves that λ satisfies (3). Finally, for all $c \in C$, $\Phi_{A \otimes C}(1_A \otimes c) = 1_A \otimes c_{(1)} \otimes 1_{\tilde{A}} \otimes c_{(2)}$. Since $\nu_{A \otimes C}$ splits $\Phi_{A \otimes C}$ we have $1 \otimes c = \nu_{A \otimes C}(1_A \otimes c_{(1)} \otimes 1_{\tilde{A}} \otimes c_{(2)})$. Applying $A \otimes \epsilon_C$ to this equality one immediately deduces that λ satisfies (5). Therefore the morphism (f, g) is totally integrable. This completes the proof of the first statement of the theorem.

(2) Given \mathfrak{z} as in Definition 3.3 define for all $\tilde{M} \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\tilde{\psi})$, $\nu_{\tilde{M}} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow (\tilde{M} \square_{\tilde{C}} C) \otimes_A \tilde{A}$, $\nu_{\tilde{M}} = (\rho_{\tilde{M}} \otimes C \otimes_A \tilde{A}) \circ (\tilde{M} \otimes \mathfrak{z}) \circ \rho^{\tilde{M}}$. The proof that $\nu_{\tilde{M}}$ is the required cosplitting is dual to the proof of the corresponding part of assertion (1). Conversely, given a cosplitting $\nu_{\tilde{M}}$ define $\zeta = (\epsilon_{\tilde{C}} \otimes \tilde{A} \otimes C \otimes_A \tilde{A}) \circ \nu_{\tilde{C} \otimes \tilde{A}} \circ (\tilde{C} \otimes 1_{\tilde{A}})$. \square

Notice that the assumption of Theorem 3.4(1) is satisfied if ${}^{\tilde{C}}C$ is coflat. Dually, the assumption of Theorem 3.4(2) is satisfied if ${}_A\tilde{A}$ is flat. The remainder of the paper is devoted to the analysis of special cases of Theorem 3.4.

4. SEPARABLE COALGEBRA-GALOIS EXTENSIONS

The following notion was introduced in [1]. It generalises the notion of an H -integral for a Doi-Hopf datum [6, Definition 2.1].

Definition 4.1. Let $(A, C)_\psi$ be an entwining structure. An *integral* in $(A, C)_\psi$ is an element $\mathfrak{z} = \sum_i a_i \otimes c_i \in A \otimes C$ such that for all $a \in A$, $a \cdot \mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{z} \cdot a$. Explicitly, we require $\sum_i a a_i \otimes c_i = \sum_i a_i \psi(c_i \otimes a)$. An integral $\mathfrak{z} = \sum_i a_i \otimes c_i$ is said to be *normalised* if $\sum_i a_i \epsilon_C(c_i) = 1$.

Example 4.2. Let A be a Hopf algebra and $B \subset A$ be a left A -comodule subalgebra, i.e., a subalgebra of A such that $\Delta_A(B) \subset A \otimes B$. Consider the coalgebra C/B^+A . C is a right A -module in the natural way and there is an entwining structure $(A, C)_\psi$ with $\psi : c \otimes a \mapsto a_{(1)} \otimes c \cdot a_{(2)}$. Let $\Lambda \in C$ be such that for all $a \in A$, $\Lambda \cdot a = \epsilon_A(a)\Lambda$ and $\epsilon_C(\Lambda) = 1$. Then $\mathfrak{z} = 1 \otimes \Lambda$ is an integral in $(A, C)_\psi$.

Proof. Clearly, $1_A \epsilon(\Lambda) = 1_A$. Take any $a \in A$, then $(1 \otimes \Lambda) \cdot a = a_{(1)} \otimes \Lambda \cdot a_{(2)} = a \otimes \Lambda = a \cdot (1 \otimes \Lambda)$. \square

In [1] it has been shown that the existence of an integral in $(A, C)_\psi$ is closely related to the fact that the functor $-\otimes C : \mathbf{M}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ of Example 2.7(1) is both left and right adjoint of the forgetful functor $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$. The following

theorem, which is an entwining structure version of [5, Theorem 2.14], shows that integrals are closely related to the separability of $- \otimes C$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(A, C)_\psi$ be an entwining structure. The functor $- \otimes C : \mathbf{M}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ is separable if and only if there exists a normalised integral in $(A, C)_\psi$.*

Proof. Consider an admissible morphism $(A, \epsilon_C) : (A, C)_\psi \rightarrow (A, k)_{\text{twist}}$. Then $- \otimes C = - \square_k C : \mathbf{M}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$. Since ${}_A A$ is flat, Theorem 3.4(2) can be applied and thus $- \otimes C$ is separable if and only if (A, ϵ_C) is totally cointegrable, i.e. there exists $\mathfrak{z} \in \text{Hom}(k, (A \otimes C) \otimes_A A) \cong A \otimes C$ such that conditions (6)–(8) are satisfied. In this case condition (6) is empty, while condition (7) means that \mathfrak{z} is an integral in $(A, C)_\psi$. Finally, condition (8) states that \mathfrak{z} is normalised. \square

The existence of normalised integrals in the canonical entwining structure associated to a coalgebra-Galois extensions turns out to be equivalent to the separability of such an extension. First, recall from [13]

Definition 4.4. An extension of algebras $B \hookrightarrow A$ is *separable* if there exists $u \in A \otimes_B A$ such that for all $a \in A$, $au = ua$ and $\mu_{A,B}(u) = 1_A$, where $\mu_{A,B} : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A$ is the natural map induced by μ_A . The element u is called a *separability idempotent*.

Proposition 4.5. *A coalgebra-Galois extension $A(B)^C$ is separable if and only if there exists a normalised integral in the canonical entwining structure.*

Proof. We first show that $\text{can}^{-1} : A \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes_B A$ is an (A, A) -bimodule map, where the (A, A) -bimodule structure on $A \otimes C$ is as in Definition 4.1. By construction, can^{-1} is a left A -module map. For all $\mathfrak{z} = \sum_i a_i \otimes c_i \in A \otimes C$, $a \in A$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{can}^{-1}(\mathfrak{z} \cdot a) &= \text{can}^{-1}\left(\sum_i a_i a_\alpha \otimes c_i^\alpha\right) = \sum_i a_i \text{can}^{-1}(a_\alpha \otimes c_i^\alpha) \\ &= \sum_i a_i \text{can}^{-1}(\text{can}(\text{can}^{-1}(1_A \otimes c_i)a)) \quad (\text{def. of canonical } \psi) \\ &= \sum_i a_i \text{can}^{-1}(1_A \otimes c_i)a = \text{can}^{-1}(\mathfrak{z})a. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore \mathfrak{z} is an integral in $(A, C)_\psi$ if and only if for all $a \in A$, $au = ua$, where $u = \text{can}^{-1}(\mathfrak{z})$. Furthermore, directly from the definition of the canonical map can , one finds that $(A \otimes \epsilon_C) \circ \text{can} = \mu_{A,B}$. Therefore \mathfrak{z} is normalised if and only if $\mu_{A,B}(u) = 1_A$. \square

Example 4.6. In the setting of Example 4.2, view A as a right C -comodule via $\rho^A = (A \otimes \pi) \circ \Delta_A$, where $\pi : A \rightarrow C = A/B^+A$ is the canonical surjection, and assume that $B = \{b \in B \mid \forall a \in A, \rho^A(ba) = b\rho^A(a)\}$ (for example, this holds if either ${}_B A$ or A_B is faithfully flat). Then $A(B)^C$ is a coalgebra-Galois extension, and if there is $\Lambda \in C$ such that for all $a \in A$, $\Lambda \cdot a = \epsilon_A(a)\Lambda$ and $\epsilon_C(\Lambda) = 1$, then $B \hookrightarrow A$ is separable.

The introduction of separable extensions in [13] was motivated by the Hochschild relative homological algebra [12]. In the case of a coalgebra-Galois extension the relationship between cohomology and separable extensions can be expressed in terms of integrals in the canonical entwining structure. Recall from [12] that if B is

a subalgebra of A then for every (A, A) -bimodule M the *relative Hochschild cohomology groups* $H^n(A, B, M)$ are defined as cohomology groups of the complex $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} C^n(A, B, M), \delta)$, where $C^0(A, B, M) = \{m \in M \mid \forall b \in B, b \cdot m = m \cdot b\}$,

$$C^n(A, B, M) = {}_B\text{Hom}_B(\underbrace{A \otimes_B A \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B A}_{n\text{-times}}, M), \quad n > 0,$$

and the coboundary $\delta : C^n(A, B, M) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(A, B, M)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(f)(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) &= a_1 \cdot f(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i f(a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1} f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot a_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.7. *Let $A(B)^C$ be a coalgebra-Galois extension. Then a normalised integral in the associated canonical entwining structure exists if and only if for all (A, A) -bimodules M , $H^1(A, B, M) = 0$.*

Proof. By an argument similar to [9, p. 76], one shows, that the first relative Hochschild cohomology group is trivial for all (A, A) -bimodules if and only if the extension $B \hookrightarrow A$ is separable. Then the assertion follows from Proposition 4.5. \square

Corollary 4.8. *Let $A(B)^C$ be a coalgebra-Galois extension with a normalised integral in the canonical entwining structure. Then any (A, A) -bimodule which is semisimple as a (B, B) -bimodule is semisimple as an (A, A) -bimodule.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.7, for all (A, A) -bimodules M , $H^1(A, B, M) = 0$. Then [12, Theorem 1] implies the assertion. \square

Dually one can consider

Definition 4.9. Let (A, C, ψ) be an entwining structure. A k -module map $\eta : C \otimes A \rightarrow k$, such that for all $a \in A$, $c \in C$, $c_{(1)}\eta(c_{(2)} \otimes a) = \eta(c_{(1)} \otimes a_\alpha)c_{(2)}^\alpha$ is called a *cointegral* in $(A, C)_\psi$. A cointegral η is said to be *normalised* if $\eta \circ (C \otimes 1_A) = \epsilon_C$.

Example 4.10. Let C be a Hopf algebra and let A be a right C -comodule algebra. Then $(A, C)_\psi$ is an entwining structure with $\psi : c \otimes a \mapsto a_{(0)} \otimes ca_{(1)}$. Let $\kappa \in A^*$ be such that $\kappa(1_A) = 1$ and for all $a \in A$, $1_C \kappa(a) = \kappa(a_{(0)})a_{(1)}$. Then $\eta = \epsilon_C \otimes \kappa$ is a normalised cointegral in $(A, C)_\psi$.

Theorem 4.11. *Let $(A, C)_\psi$ be an entwining structure. The functor $- \otimes A : \mathbf{M}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ is separable if and only if there exists a normalised cointegral in $(A, C)_\psi$.*

Proof. Consider the morphism $(1_A, C) : (k, C)_{\text{twist}} \rightarrow (A, C)_\psi$ and apply Theorem 3.4(1). \square

Definition 4.12. A coextension of coalgebras $C \twoheadrightarrow B$ is said to be a *separable coextension* if there exists a k -module map $v : C \square_B C \rightarrow k$ such that $(C \otimes v) \circ (\Delta_C \otimes C) = (v \otimes C) \circ (C \otimes \Delta_C)$ on $C \square_B C$, and $v \circ \Delta_C = \epsilon_C$.

Proposition 4.13. *An algebra-Galois coextension $C(B)_A$ is separable if and only if there exists a normalised cointegral in the associated canonical entwining structure.*

Example 4.14. Let C be a Hopf algebra and $A \subset C$ a right comodule subalgebra of C , i.e., $\Delta_C(A) \subset A \otimes C$, so that we are in the setting of Example 4.10. Consider the coalgebra $B = C/CA^+$, and assume that $A = \{a \in C \mid \pi(a_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(2)} = \pi(1_C) \otimes a\}$, where $\pi : C \rightarrow B$ is the canonical surjection (this assumption is satisfied if either ${}_A C$ or C_A is faithfully flat). Then $C \twoheadrightarrow B$ is an A -Galois coextension and if there exists $\kappa \in A^*$ such that for all $a \in A$, $\kappa(a_{(1)})a_{(2)} = \kappa(a)\epsilon_C$ and $\kappa(1_A) = 1$, then this coextension is separable.

When $k = \mathbf{C}$, a rich source of separable coalgebra-Galois extensions is provided by quantum homogeneous spaces of compact quantum groups [21]. In this case we are in the setting of Example 4.14, with C a compact quantum group and A a right C -homogeneous quantum space. In many cases C is a faithfully flat right or left A -module (see [16] for examples). The map κ is the Haar measure on C restricted to A . Perhaps the simplest example of this situation is when C is the quantum $SU(2)$ group and A is any of the quantum 2-spheres of Podleś [19].

5. SPLIT COALGEBRA-GALOIS EXTENSIONS

The following definition is a slightly modified version of [1, Definition 4.1]; both definitions describe the same object if a coalgebra C is a finitely-generated projective k -module.

Definition 5.1. Let $(A, C)_\psi$ be an entwining structure. Any $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(C \otimes C, A)$ such that the following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{C \otimes \Delta_C} & C \otimes C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes C} & A \otimes C \\ \downarrow \Delta_{C \otimes C} & & & & \parallel \end{array} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C \otimes C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{C \otimes \gamma} & C \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A \otimes C \\ C \otimes C \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes A} & A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & A \\ \downarrow C \otimes \psi & & & & \uparrow \mu_A \end{array} \quad (12)$$

$$C \otimes A \otimes C \xrightarrow{\psi \otimes C} A \otimes C \otimes C \xrightarrow{A \otimes \gamma} A \otimes A$$

commute is called an *integral map* in $(A, C)_\psi$. An integral map γ is said to be *normalised*, if for all $c \in C$, $\gamma(c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}) = \epsilon_C(c)1_A$.

The following theorem is an entwining structure version of [5, Theorem 2.3].

Theorem 5.2. *The forgetful functor $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$ is separable if and only if there exists a normalised integral map in $(A, C)_\psi$.*

Proof. Consider an admissible morphism $(A, \epsilon_C) : (A, C)_\psi \rightarrow (A, k)_{\text{twist}}$. Then $-\otimes_A A : \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$ is the forgetful functor. In this case $(C \otimes A) \square_k C = C \otimes A \otimes C$, and for all $M \in \mathbf{M}_A$, $\text{eq}_{M_A A} = M$ so that the assumption of Theorem 3.4(1) holds. Therefore the forgetful functor is separable if and only if (A, ϵ_C) is totally integrable, i.e., iff there exists $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_A(C \otimes A \otimes C, A)$ satisfying all the conditions of Definition 3.2. Assume that such a λ exists and define $\gamma = \lambda \circ (C \otimes 1_A \otimes C) : C \otimes C \rightarrow A$. Then for all $a \in A$, $c, c' \in C$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\alpha\beta}\gamma(c^\beta \otimes c'^\alpha) &= a_{\alpha\beta}\lambda(c^\beta \otimes 1_A \otimes c'^\alpha) = \lambda(c \otimes a_\alpha \otimes c'^\alpha) && \text{(by (3))} \\ &= \lambda(c \otimes 1_A \otimes c')a = \gamma(c \otimes c')a, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that λ is a right A -module map to derive the penultimate equality. Hence the diagram (11) commutes. Also, (4) implies that the diagram (12) commutes, while the normalisation of γ follows immediately from (5). Thus we conclude that γ is a normalised integral map as required.

Conversely, assume that γ is a normalised integral map and define $\lambda : C \otimes A \otimes C \rightarrow A$, $c \otimes a \otimes c' \mapsto a_\alpha \gamma(c^\alpha \otimes c')$. For all $a, a' \in A$, $c, c' \in C$ we have

$$a_\alpha \lambda(c^\alpha \otimes a' \otimes c') = a_\alpha a'_\beta \gamma(c^{\alpha\beta} \otimes c') = (aa')_\alpha \gamma(c^\alpha \otimes c') = \lambda(c \otimes aa' \otimes c'),$$

where (1) was used to obtain the third equality. This proves that the diagram (3) commutes. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(c_{(2)} \otimes a \otimes c')_\alpha \otimes c_{(1)}^\alpha &= (a_\delta \gamma(c_{(2)}^\delta \otimes c'))_\alpha \otimes c_{(1)}^\alpha \\ &= a_{\delta\alpha} \gamma(c_{(2)}^\delta \otimes c')_\beta \otimes c_{(1)}^{\alpha\beta} && \text{(by (1))} \\ &= a_\alpha \gamma(c_{(2)}^\alpha \otimes c')_\beta \otimes c_{(1)}^{\alpha\beta} && \text{(by (2))} \\ &= a_\alpha \gamma(c^\alpha \otimes c'_{(1)})_\beta \otimes c'_{(2)} && \text{(by (12))} \\ &= \lambda(c \otimes a \otimes c'_{(1)}) \otimes c'_{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that diagram (4) commutes. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(c \otimes aa'_\alpha \otimes c'^\alpha) &= (aa'_\alpha)_\beta \gamma(c^\beta \otimes c'^\alpha) = a_\beta a'_{\alpha\delta} \gamma(c^{\beta\delta} \otimes c'^\alpha) && \text{(by (1))} \\ &= a_\beta \gamma(c^\beta \otimes c') a' = \lambda(c \otimes a \otimes a') && \text{(by (11)).} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore λ is a right A -module map, and, consequently the morphism (A, ϵ_C) is integrable. The fact that it is totally integrable follows immediately from the normalisation of γ . \square

Example 5.3. Let $(A, C)_\psi$ be the canonical entwining structure associated to a pointed algebra-Galois coextension $C(k)_A$ of k . Then the forgetful functor $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A$ is separable.

Proof. Since $B = k$, $C \square_B C = C \otimes C$, and we define $\gamma = (\epsilon_C \otimes A) \circ \text{cocan}^{-1} : C \otimes C \rightarrow A$. We show that γ is a normalised integral map. First notice that since cocan^{-1} is a left C -comodule map, one has $\text{cocan}^{-1} = (C \otimes \gamma) \circ (\Delta_C \otimes C)$. Applying the definition of the canonical entwining map in Example 2.3 to cocan^{-1} one immediately obtains $\psi \circ \text{cocan}^{-1} = (\gamma \otimes C) \circ (C \otimes \Delta_C)$, i.e. $\psi \circ (C \otimes \gamma) \circ (\Delta_C \otimes C) = (\gamma \otimes C) \circ (C \otimes \Delta_C)$. Thus we conclude that γ satisfies condition (11).

Let $\kappa : A \rightarrow k$ be the algebra map making $C(k)_A$ a pointed algebra-Galois coextension. One easily finds that $\rho_C = (\kappa \otimes C) \circ \psi$ and $C \otimes \kappa = (C \otimes \epsilon_C) \circ \text{cocan}$. The map γ is the *cotranslation map*, so, as explained in [3, Theorem 3.5], it has the following properties

$$\mu_A \circ (\gamma \otimes A) = \gamma \circ (C \otimes \rho_C), \quad (13)$$

$$\mu_A \circ (\gamma \otimes \gamma) \circ (C \otimes \Delta_C \otimes C) = \gamma \circ (C \otimes \epsilon_C \otimes C). \quad (14)$$

Using all these properties we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_A \circ (\gamma \otimes A) &= \gamma \circ (C \otimes \rho_C) && \text{(by (13))} \\
&= \gamma \circ (C \otimes \kappa \otimes C) \circ (C \otimes \psi) \\
&= \gamma \circ (C \otimes \epsilon_C \otimes C) \circ (\text{cocan} \otimes C) \circ (C \otimes \psi) \\
&= \mu_A \circ (\gamma \otimes \gamma) \circ (C \otimes \Delta_C \otimes C) \circ (\text{cocan} \otimes C) \circ (C \otimes \psi) && \text{(by (14))} \\
&= \mu_A \circ (A \otimes \gamma) \circ (\psi \otimes C) \circ (C \otimes \psi) && \text{(def. of } \psi).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that γ is an integral map. Finally, γ is normalised by the normalisation property of the cotranslation map (cf. [3, Theorem 3.5]). \square

As explained in [5] the separability of the forgetful functor implies various Maschke-type theorems. Thus, similarly as in [1] we have

Corollary 5.4. *If there is a normalised integral map in $(A, C)_\psi$, then*

(1) *Every object in $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ which is semisimple as an object in \mathbf{M}_A is semisimple as an object in $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$.*

(2) *Every object in $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ which is projective (resp. injective) as a right A -module is a projective (resp. injective) object in $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$.*

(3) *$M \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ is projective as a right A -module if and only if there exists $V \in \mathbf{M}^C$ such that M is a direct summand of $V \otimes A$ in $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ ($V \otimes A$ is an entwined module by Example 2.7(2)).*

In the case of a coalgebra-Galois extension, the existence of normalised integral maps in the canonical entwining structure is closely related to the coalgebra-Galois extension being a split extension. Recall from [18][14]

Definition 5.5. An extension of algebras $B \hookrightarrow A$ is called a *split extension* if there exists a unital (B, B) -bimodule map $E : A \rightarrow B$. The map E is called a *conditional expectation*.

Proposition 5.6. *A coalgebra-Galois extension $A(B)^C$ is a split extension if and only if there exists $\phi \in \text{Hom}(C, A)$ such that*

- (i) $\forall c \in C, \quad \psi(c_{(1)} \otimes \phi(c_{(2)})) = \phi(c)\rho^A(1_A),$
- (ii) $\sum_i a^i \phi(c_i) = 1_A, \text{ where } \sum_i a^i \otimes c_i = \rho^A(1_A).$
- (iii) $\forall b \in B, c \in C, \quad b_\alpha \phi(c^\alpha) = \phi(c)b.$

Proof. As explained in the proof of [2, Proposition 4.4], given a unital (B, B) -bimodule map $E : A \rightarrow B$ there exists $\phi \in \text{Hom}(C, A)$ satisfying conditions (i)–(iii). Explicitly, $\phi = (A \otimes_B E) \circ \text{can}^{-1} \circ (1_A \otimes C)$. Conversely, given $\phi \in \text{Hom}(C, A)$ satisfying (i), [2, Theorem 4.3] implies that $E : A \rightarrow B, a \mapsto a_{(0)}\phi(a_{(1)})$ is a left B -module map. Clearly, condition (ii) implies E is unital. Furthermore, for all $a \in A, b \in B$

$$E(ab) = (ab)_{(0)}\phi((ab)_{(1)}) = a_{(0)}b_\alpha\phi(a_{(1)}^\alpha) = a_{(0)}\phi(a_{(1)})b = E(a)b,$$

where we used that $A \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ and the assumption (iii) to derive the second and third equalities respectively. This completes the proof. \square

Let $(A, C)_\psi$ be an entwining structure and assume that $A \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$. Define B as in Example 2.2. Then one can consider a covariant functor $(-)_0 : \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_B$

$$M \mapsto M_0 := \{m \in M \mid \forall a \in A, \quad \rho^M(m \cdot a) = m\rho^A(a)\}.$$

Notice, in particular, that $B = A_0$. As explained in [2] the functor $(-)_0$ is the right adjoint of the functor $- \otimes_B A : \mathbf{M}_B \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$.

Corollary 5.7. *If a coalgebra-Galois extension $A(B)^C$ is a split extension then ${}_B A$ is a faithfully flat module. Consequently, the functors $- \otimes_B A : \mathbf{M}_B \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)$ and $(-)_0 : \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_B$ are inverse equivalences.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from [2, Proposition 4.4], while the second is the consequence of [2, Corollary 3.11]. \square

Proposition 5.8. *Let $(A, C)_\psi$ be the canonical entwining structure associated to a coalgebra-Galois extension $A(B)^C$. If there is a normalised integral map in $(A, C)_\psi$ then $B \hookrightarrow A$ is a split extension.*

Proof. Let $\gamma : C \otimes C \rightarrow A$ be a normalised integral map in $(A, C)_\psi$, and take $\phi : C \rightarrow A$, $c \mapsto \sum_i a_\alpha^i \gamma(c^\alpha \otimes c_i)$, where $\sum_i a^i \otimes c_i = \rho^A(1_A)$. Notice that the fact that A is an $(A, C)_\psi$ module implies that for all $a \in A$, $\rho^A(a) = \sum_i a^i a_\alpha \otimes c_i^\alpha$. Furthermore, since ρ^A is a coaction we have

$$\sum_{i,j} a^j a_\alpha^i \otimes c_j^\alpha \otimes c_i = \sum_i a^i \otimes c_{i(1)} \otimes c_{i(2)}. \quad (15)$$

We now show that ϕ satisfies all the conditions of Proposition 5.6. For all $c \in C$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(c_{(1)} \otimes \phi(c_{(2)})) &= \left(\sum_i a_\alpha^i \gamma(c_{(2)}^\alpha \otimes c_i) \right)_\beta \otimes c_{(1)}^\beta \\ &= \sum_i a_{\alpha\delta}^i \gamma(c_{(2)}^\alpha \otimes c_i)_\beta \otimes c_{(1)}^{\delta\beta} \quad (\text{by (1)}) \\ &= \sum_i a_\alpha^i \gamma(c_{(2)}^\alpha \otimes c_i)_\beta \otimes c_{(1)}^{\alpha\beta} \quad (\text{by (2)}) \\ &= \sum_i a_\alpha^i \gamma(c^\alpha \otimes c_{i(1)})_\beta \otimes c_{i(2)} \quad (\text{by (11)}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (a^j a_\beta^i)_\alpha \gamma(c^\alpha \otimes c_j^\beta) \otimes c_i \quad (\text{by (15)}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} a_\alpha^j a_{\beta\delta}^i \gamma(c^{\alpha\delta} \otimes c_j^\beta) \otimes c_i \quad (\text{by (1)}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} a_\alpha^j \gamma(c^\alpha \otimes c_j) a^i \otimes c_i = \phi(c) \rho^A(1_A) \quad (\text{by (12)}) \end{aligned}$$

Using normalisation of γ as well as (15) one easily finds that $\sum_i a^i \phi(c_i) = 1_A$. Finally, take any $b \in B$, $c \in C$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} b_\alpha \phi(c^\alpha) &= \sum_i b_\alpha a_\beta^i \gamma(c^{\alpha\beta} \otimes c_i) = \sum_i (b a_\beta^i)_\alpha \gamma(c^\alpha \otimes c_i) \quad (\text{by (1)}) \\ &= \sum_i (a^i b_\beta)_\alpha \gamma(c^\alpha \otimes c_i^\beta) = \sum_i a_\alpha^i b_{\beta\delta} \gamma(c^{\alpha\delta} \otimes c_i^\beta) \quad (b \in B, (1)) \\ &= \sum_i a_\alpha^i \gamma(c^\alpha \otimes c_i) b = \phi(c) b \quad (\text{by (12)}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ϕ satisfies all the conditions of Proposition 5.6 and, consequently, $B \hookrightarrow A$ is a split extension. \square

Dually to Definition 5.1 we can consider

Definition 5.9. Let $(A, C)_\psi$ be an entwining structure. Any $\zeta \in \text{Hom}(C, A \otimes A)$ such that the following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\zeta \otimes A} & A \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{A \otimes \mu_A} & A \otimes A \\ \parallel & & & & \uparrow \mu_A \otimes A \\ C \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A \otimes C & \xrightarrow{A \otimes \zeta} & A \otimes A \otimes A \end{array} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \xrightarrow{\Delta_C} & C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\zeta \otimes C} & A \otimes A \otimes C \\ \downarrow \Delta_C & & & & \uparrow A \otimes \psi \\ C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{C \otimes \zeta} & C \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\psi \otimes A} & A \otimes C \otimes A \end{array} \quad (17)$$

commute is called a *cointegral map* in $(A, C)_\psi$. A cointegral map ζ is said to be *normalised*, if $\mu_A \circ \zeta = 1_A \circ \epsilon_C$.

Theorem 5.10. *The forgetful functor $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^C$ is separable if and only if there exists a normalised cointegral map in $(A, C)_\psi$.*

Proof. Consider an admissible morphism $(1_A, C) : (k, C)_\sigma \rightarrow (A, C)_\psi$ and apply Theorem 3.4(2). \square

Example 5.11. Let $(A, C)_\psi$ be a canonical entwining structure associated to a co-pointed coalgebra-Galois extension $A(k)^B$ of k . Then the forgetful functor $\mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^C$ is separable.

In this case a normalised cointegral map is $\zeta = \text{can}^{-1} \circ (1_A \otimes C)$.

6. STRONGLY SEPARABLE COALGEBRA-GALOIS EXTENSIONS

In this section we combine the results of previous two sections to determine when a coalgebra-Galois extension is a strongly separable extension. Such an extension was introduced in [14] in order to describe algebraic aspects of the Jones knot polynomial.

Definition 6.1. An extension of algebras $B \hookrightarrow A$ is called a *strongly separable extension* if it is a separable and split extension, and there exist a separation idempotent $u = \sum_i u_i \otimes u^i$, a conditional expectation $E : A \rightarrow B$ and a unit $\tau \in k$ such that for all $a \in A$,

- (i) $\sum_i E(au_i)u^i = a\tau$
- (ii) $\sum_i u_i E(u^i a) = a\tau$.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $A(B)^C$ be a coalgebra-Galois extension. If there exist a normalised integral $\mathfrak{z} = \sum_i a_i \otimes c_i$ and a normalised integral map $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(C \otimes C, A)$ in the canonical entwining structure $(A, C)_\psi$, and a unit $\tau \in k$ such that*

- (i) $\sum_i a_i 1_{A(0)} \gamma(c_i^\alpha \otimes 1_{A(1)}) = \tau$,
- (ii) $\sum_i a_{i(0)} \gamma(a_{i(1)} \otimes c_i) = \tau$,

then $B \hookrightarrow A$ is a strongly separable extension.

Proof. By Proposition 4.5, $B \hookrightarrow A$ is separable with $u = \sum_i u_i \otimes u^i = \text{can}^{-1}(\mathfrak{z})$, while by Proposition 5.8, $B \hookrightarrow A$ is split with a conditional expectation $E : A \mapsto a_{(0)}1_{A(0)\alpha}\gamma(a_{(1)}^\alpha \otimes 1_{A(1)}) = (a1_{A(0)})_{(0)}\gamma((a1_{A(0)})_{(1)} \otimes 1_{A(1)})$. Take any $a \in A$ and compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_i E(au_i)u^i &= \sum_i E(u_i)u^i a && (u \text{ is an integral}) \\
&= \sum_i u_{i(0)}1_{A(0)\alpha}\gamma(u_{i(1)}^\alpha \otimes 1_{A(1)})u^i a \\
&= \sum_i u_{i(0)}1_{A(0)\alpha}u_{\beta\delta}^i\gamma(u_{i(1)}^{\alpha\delta} \otimes 1_{A(1)}^\beta)a && (\text{by (12)}) \\
&= \sum_i u_{i(0)}(1_{A(0)}u_\beta^i)_\alpha\gamma(u_{i(1)}^\alpha \otimes 1_{A(1)}^\beta)a && (\text{by (1)}) \\
&= \sum_i u_{i(0)}u_{(0)\alpha}^i\gamma(u_{i(1)}^\alpha \otimes u_{(1)}^i)a && (A \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)) \\
&= \sum_i (u_i u_{(0)}^i)_{(0)}\gamma((u_i u_{(0)}^i)_{(1)} \otimes u_{(1)}^i)a && (A \in \mathbf{M}_A^C(\psi)) \\
&= \sum_i a_{i(0)}\gamma(a_{i(1)} \otimes c_i)a = \tau a && (\mathfrak{z} = \text{can}(u))
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the condition Definition 6.1(i) is satisfied. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_i u_i E(u^i a) &= \sum_i au_i E(u^i) && (u \text{ is an integral}) \\
&= \sum_i au_i u_{(0)}^i 1_{A(0)\alpha}\gamma(u_{(1)}^i{}^\alpha \otimes 1_{A(1)}) \\
&= \sum_i aa_i 1_{A(0)\alpha}\gamma(c_i^\alpha \otimes 1_{A(1)}) = \tau a && (\mathfrak{z} = \text{can}(u))
\end{aligned}$$

This proves Definition 6.1(ii) and thus completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proposition 6.3. *Let k be a field and let $A(B)^C$ be a coalgebra-Galois extension with both A and B finite dimensional. Suppose that A_B is free. Then $B \hookrightarrow A$ is a strongly separable extension if and only if there exists a normalised integral $\mathfrak{z} = \sum_i a_i \otimes c_i$ in the canonical entwining structure $(A, C)_\psi$, a map $\phi : C \rightarrow A$ satisfying conditions (i)–(iii) in Proposition 5.6, and a non-zero $\tau \in k$ such that*

$$\sum_i a_i \phi(c_i) = \tau. \quad (18)$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.5, $B \hookrightarrow A$ is separable with $u = \sum_i u_i \otimes u^i = \text{can}^{-1}(\mathfrak{z})$, while by Proposition 5.6, $B \hookrightarrow A$ is split with a conditional expectation $E : a \mapsto a_{(0)}\phi(a_{(1)})$. By [11, Remark 1.4(d)], Definition 6.1(i) holds provided that condition Definition 6.1(ii) holds. Thus it suffices to prove that (18) is a sufficient and

necessary condition for Definition 6.1(ii). Take any $a \in A$ and compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i u_i E(u^i a) &= \sum_i a u_i E(u^i) && (u \text{ is an integral}) \\ &= \sum_i a u_i u^i_{(0)} \phi(u^i_{(1)}) = \sum_i a a_i \phi(c_i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sum_i u_i E(u^i a) = \tau a$ if and only if (18) holds. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Brzeziński. Frobenius properties and Maschke-type theorems for entwined modules. *Preprint*, math/9806025, 1998. To appear in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [2] T. Brzeziński. On modules associated to coalgebra-Galois extensions. *Preprint*, q-alg/9712023, 1997. To appear in *J. Algebra*.
- [3] T. Brzeziński and P.M. Hajac. Coalgebra extensions and algebra coextensions of Galois type. *Preprint*, q-alg/9708010, 1997. To appear in *Commun. Algebra*.
- [4] T. Brzeziński and S. Majid. Coalgebra bundles. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 191:467–492, 1998.
- [5] S. Caenepeel, B. Ion, G. Militaru and S. Zhu. Separable functors for the category of Doi-Hopf modules. Applications. *Adv. Math.* to appear.
- [6] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru and S. Zhu. Doi-Hopf modules, Yetter-Drinfel'd modules and Frobenius type properties. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 349:4311–4342, 1997.
- [7] S. Caenepeel and S. Raianu. Induction functors for the Doi-Koppinen unified Hopf modules. [in:] *Abelian Groups and Modules*, A. Facchini and C. Menini (eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 1995, pp. 73–94.
- [8] A. del Rio. Categorical methods in graded ring theory. *Publ. Mat.*, 36:489–531, 1992.
- [9] F. DeMeyer and E. Ingraham. *Separable Algebras Over Commutative Rings*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 181, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1971.
- [10] Y. Doi. Unifying Hopf modules. *J. Algebra*, 153:373–385, 1992.
- [11] D. Fischman, S. Montgomery and H.-J. Schneider. Frobenius extensions of subalgebras of Hopf algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 349:4857–4895, 1997.
- [12] G. Hochschild. Relative homological algebra. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 82:246–269, 1956.
- [13] K. Hirata and K. Sugano. On semisimple extensions and separable extensions over non commutative rings. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 18:360–374, 1966.
- [14] L. Kadison. The Jones polynomial and certain separable Frobenius extensions. *J. Algebra* 186:461–475, 1996.
- [15] M. Koppinen. Variations on the smash product with applications to group-graded rings. *J. Pure Appl. Alg.*, 104:61–80, 1994.
- [16] E.F. Müller and H.-J. Schneider. Quantum homogeneous spaces with faithfully flat module structure. *Preprint*, 1998.
- [17] C. Năstăsescu, M. van den Bergh and F. van Oystaeyen. Separable functors applied to graded rings. *J. Algebra* 123:397–413, 1989.
- [18] R. Pierce. *Associative algebras*. Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1982.
- [19] P. Podleś. Quantum spheres. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 14:193–202, 1987.
- [20] M.D. Rafael. Separable functors revisited. *Commun. Algebra* 18:1445–1459, 1990.
- [21] S.L. Woronowicz. Compact matrix pseudogroups. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 111:613–665, 1987.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF YORK, HESLINGTON, YORK YO10 5DD, U.K.

E-mail address: tb10@york.ac.uk

URL: <http://www.york.ac.uk/~tb10>